App. F. IX-4

Previous References. (1943-AA Madurai) **File No.** 39-A3

Serial Nos. 1-8

P

Later References.
Law. 176-A3
Plg 90-48-1-8
30-12-1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Eight-

Part B for March 1946:

SUBJECT

Acquisition of certain properties to extend the back yard of Sri Pattisaya Samiti at Tuticorin.

Dor. 6437-40 dated 15.3.1946

To

The Tahsildar, Tuticorin

Sir,

I am directed to inform you that the Government have decided to acquire the properties mentioned in the schedule below for the extension of the back yard of Sri Pattisaya Samiti at Tuticorin.

WD 05967—GPB—60,000—9-42

From 194 39. 43-1

M. Seshadri, Esqr., M.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

To

Sri Darashan, Esqr., B.A.;
General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 14th July 1943.

Despatched

Received

GENERAL 17 JULY 1943

General No. R1-540/43-44
No.

Enclosure No.

Subject:-Sri Yathiraja Mutt, Melkote -
Acquisition of properties adjoining to
the East - Requesting sanction for -

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith copies of letters No.R1.324/39-40 dated 20th April 1940 and No. R1-261/41 dated 7th July 1943, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, forwarding proposals for the acquisition of certain properties for the Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote. The late Swamiyalu of the Mutt was urging the acquisition of the properties to the East of the Mutt as it had no back yard. The Agent of the Mutt has also brought to my notice that the proposed acquisition of properties is necessary and urgent as it will be very inconvenient for any Swamy succeeding to the Peetah for want of a back-yard.

The villagers have estimated the value of the properties at Rs.700/- and the charges of acquisition will be met from the funds of the Mutt held in S.B.account, a sum of Rs,50/- only being made available towards the acquisition charges from the current years allotment of the State and the General Muzrai Fund under "Construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions" to validate the acquisition.

3. I request that the preliminary notifications in Kannada and English may be kindly arranged to be published in the Mysore Gazette, 25 printed copies of the Kannada notification being arranged to be sent to the Sub Division Officer, French-rocks, by the Government Press, for local publication.

4. The connected file of records is sent herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. N. Ramdahun.
Assistant Commissioner,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station).

(1) The properties proposed to be acquired at present are noted in the sketch as the area covered by the portion shaded in blue marked H I M N.

(2) As the properties are required for extending the back yard of the Mutt for the convenience of the presiding head of the Mutt, this cannot be considered to be a public purpose within the meaning of Section 4 of the Land Requisition Act. It is however reported that a nominal sum of Rs. 5/- has been provided in the statement showing the allotment of State and Gen. Muz. Funds for the construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions during the current year, for validating the acquisition of the properties for the Mutt. The above list has not been received in this office till now for approval.

(3) Apart from the makazar of the Villagers dated nil, containing the valuation of the properties the records do not show what the probable cost of acquisition is. Neither the Amildar nor the S.D.O. has taken any trouble to estimate it. The estimate of the Villagers is Rs. 100/-. Taking this figure, in the absence of a proper estimate by the local officers, the total cost of acquisition under the rules amounts to Rs. 805/-. It has not been intimated as to what is the sum available at the credit of the Mutt at present and whether it is adequate to meet the charges of acquisition.

(4) The preliminary (draft) notification in Kannada is torn and a half is missing from its duplicate. Nor have necessary corrections been made in the preamble and headings of the columns of both notifications.

(5) If agdd. the S.D.O. will be requested to send fresh notification forms with the information referred to above, in the first instance.

(6) Yes. H.S. 11/8

Re : the acquisition of properties required for extending the back-yard of Shri Yathiraja Mutt, Melkote.

297/R J 2053/R.

(7) Draft Subd. (8) Issued

Issued No. R.1190, dated the 28th August 1943

To the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District

Returns the file with the observations referred to above, for submission through the M.C. with the information called for.

Memo No. R. 1191, of even date. To - The Muzrai Commissioner

Forwards a copy of the above for information.

Letter No P.1-C 540/43-44, dated the 10th November 1943

From the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Reporting that the cost of acquisition of the properties is estimated at Rs. 1,495/- including statutory allowance and that the cost will be met from the amount of Rs. 1900/- held in S.B. Account to the credit of the mutt and requesting that preliminary notifications may be published in the Gazette.

(9) The extension of the backyard by the proposed acquisition of the properties is not in accordance with any approved plan. From the sketch showing the properties to be acquired, it is seen that the layout of the extension contemplated is not uniform. The Ml. Council of the locality does not seem to have been consulted as regards the lay-out

(10) The Revenue Inspector mentions in his undated report No. C.53/43-44, to the Amildar, that the portion marked in red-ink in the sketch pertains to "Angamani Mantap", which is used, every year, for depositing Shri Narayanaswamy idol (utsava Vigraha) in connection with certain festivals, and that the acquisition of the Mantap and the adjoining stone mantap and the vacant areas, may give room for some contest. The local officers have not considered this aspect of the proposal, and have submitted their reports without comment on this point. As Melkote is noted for its festive

Extension of the back-yard of Sri Parthasarathy Swami at Mellote.

297/R/2053/R

Continuation Sheet. Mad. File No. 39 / Current No. — - — of 1943-44 Page. 4

and as one of the properties is being made use of by the Temple authorities, for Utsavas, it seems to be advisable to consult the Dharmadarshis of the Temple, ~~and also the Ml Council~~, if they are agreeable to the proposed acquisition of the Mantap and the surrounding area, and also the Ml. Council regarding the lay-out of the extension (of backyard).

(11) Submitted for orders as to whether the D.C. may be requested to further examine the question in consultation with the bodies referred to or preliminary notifications published in the Gazette.

(12) The records show that there is considerable opposition from practically every quarter except the Mutt to the acquisition. Further, the Investigator being dead, a successor has not been nominated. In these circumstances it is for consideration if the proposal may not be dropped. In the alternative, the preliminary notification may be issued & the matter further considered after enquiring into & the persons interested are duly heard.

852/27/11/43

2
26/11/43

Dy: Sec'y

(13) The publication of the Preliminary notification in the official Gazette may be approved. There will be time for receiving & disposal of objections either from the

2058/R

Acquisition of certain properties for extending backyard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt, Melkote

Continuation Sheet. File No. Current No. 39 of 43 Page. 5

Public or from the Private capacity Consultnip Dharmadarsi in such cases is not obligatory. The views of the Sri Swamigalu have to be respected. The only point for decision is whether the acquisition is necessary & whether it can be considered to be for a "Public Purpose" and to see whether funds are available for acquiring the properties. In view of the Minister Comm'rs recommendation, action may be taken for acquisition. That the Peethadhipati of the Mutt is dead, is no reason for putting off acquisition proceedings. A backyard for a Mutt is just as necessary ~~for a school~~ (perhaps more), as it is for a private residence.

Minister (Rev & Law). 27/XI/43

(14) 30/XI/43

(15) Draft subd 1/12/43

(16)

[4] Issued No. R-3419, dated the 15th Dec 1943.

To – The Compiler, Mysore Gazette.

Forwards notifications in English and Kannada for publication Memo No. R. 3420 of even date. Copy to the Sl.

[5] Notification No. 3421 of even date.

OFFICE OF T... F.I.R. 29 NOV 1943 No. 3131

TYPING SECTION - 6 DEC. 1943 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

15/12/43

[6]

Letter No. P.1-C.540/43-44, dated the 15th May 1945, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, requesting in the circumstances explained, sanction to the acquisition of the properties in question.

(17) The M.C. reports that objections have been received from Ranganayakamma, wife of Shanik Rangaswami Iengar, and Ramachandraswami residents of Melkote, regarding the acquisition of their properties for the improvement of the premises of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote, and that these contend that the acquisition of their houses for the benefit of the Mutt does not amount to a public purpose within the meaning of the Acquisition Act. He is of opinion that they are untenable and that the suit threatened by the former party may be defended, if and when it is filed.

(18) It is seen from the records that the objections were preferred to the S.D.O. on 24-1-44 by Ranganayakamma and Ramachandraswamy of Melkote. The former got a notice issued to the Chief Secretary to Govt. through her counsel, in addition to a petition filed by the said Counsel before the S.D.O. and another petition from her to the D.C. on the same date. The M.C. was asked to submit a report on the notice issued to the Chief Secretary under Section 80 of the Civil Procedure Code, threating to file a suit against Govt. for a permanent injunction preventing the completion of the acquisition proceedings.

(19) The objection raised by the first party is that:-

(i). the purpose for which the acquisition of her house is proposed, is not a public purpose;

(ii). the Mutt authorities have managed their affairs all these years since

the foundation of the institution – without the necessity to acquire the neighbouring properties.

(iii) her family possess the right and privilege to perform Angamani Utsava in the Mantap in front of her house, which has been vested in them for a long period.

(iv) she cannot sacrifice this time-honoured right for the convenience of the Mutt people:

(v) Similar proposals for acquiring the properties in the neighbourhood of the Mutt have all been abandoned several times on account of serious objections in the past, therefore the present proposal is illegal.

(20) The second party, besides mentioning the reasons noted against items (i), (ii) and (v) supra, for giving up the acquisition proceedings, urges that as he has no other house at Melkote it is very difficult for him to obtain a suitable house to live in in case he is dispossessed.

(21). The solutions proposed by the M.E. are

(a) Validating the acquisition proceedings by contributing a sum of Rs. 5/- from the State & Gen Mus Fund allotment towards the cost of acquisition; and

(b) permitting "Angamani Utsava" being performed in the existing mantap, obtaining an undertaking from the Mutt not to obstruct those who want to perform this Utsava.

(22) The existence of considerable opposition to the acquisition of these properties for the benefit of the Mutt has already been brought to notice. From the sketch available in the file it is seen that the proposed extension of the Mutt premises is not in accordance with any approved plan. It is stated in the objection petition sent to the HD that the previous

Swamies of the Mutt used to reside in a house situated behind the main temple at Melkote and the present Mutt building has not been used for residential purposes by the head of the Mutt as it also houses the sacred shrine of Sri Yathiraja. In para 5 reference is made to the nature of the Angamani Utsava, and prominence is given to the mantapas and the well in the area as being on the same category of ancient monuments — certain idols are stated to have been discovered by Sri Ramanuja in the well. It is also urged that other well known Swamies have got constructed Mutts in the vicinity of the "Kalyani" (pond) in the town, which is away from the residential area and, as such, the area is well suited for Sanyasis who require solitude for purposes of meditation.

* Sri Palakala Mutt
" Ahobala Mutt.
" Alageeya Malavala Mutt

(23) In view of the above circumstances and the legal action proposed to be resorted to by the party, whether it is necessary to go ahead with the acquisition proceedings or whether it is not possible to find an alternative by re-examining the points at issue is for consideration.

Subd. 3/11/6

(24) The Law Secy may be consulted about the legality of the acquisition

4/11/44

5/11/44

(25) Secy (Rev) 7/11/6 for perusal/opinion

(26) Law Secy u/c

Subd. 8/11/6

(27) Chief Secy

Subd.

(28) The point for consideration is whether the acquisition of the property

in question to extend the backyard of Sri Yathiraj Mutt at Melkote is for a public purpose under the L.A. Act.

(29) The owners of the properties have objected to such acquisition on the main ground that it is not for a public purpose. (vide notice of suit Ex 'C'). From the report of the S.D.O. Ex 'E' it is seen that the locality is insanitary and cannot be improved unless acquired.

(30) The preliminary notification under S. 4 of the L.A. Act describes the properties as required for a public purpose - viz. the extension of the backyard of Sri Yathiraj Mutt at Melkote. (Ex X).

(31) The term 'public purpose' is not defined in the Act. It seems clear known that the purpose must contemplate public good. A public purpose is one wherein the general interest of the community opposed to the particular interest of the individuals is directly and vitally concerned

Acquisition of land for reclamation of unhealthy localities and any other measure likely to promote public health, safety is a public purpose (. 24 Bom 600)

(32) Sl is now proposed to issue a declaration (final) under S. 6. of the L.A. Act. A final decision has to be satisfied made that it is necessary for a public purpose, before a notice under this section is issued. This declaration is conclusive evidence as to the public purpose (cl 3 of S.6) and cannot be questioned in a court of law, though the legality of the order itself may perhaps be questioned. A suit filed before issue of notice under S.6. is premature and liable to be dismissed as the Govt may change their views later under S.H. (later) (1930 Madras (AIR) 798.) cf 11 CAR 277

(33) Again the proviso to cl (1) of S.6. says that no such declaration shall be made unless the compensation to be awarded is paid for wholly or partly

out of public revenues. In the present case a portion of the compensation is suggested to be paid out of the State Grant for upkeep (vide p.u.d).

(34) It is not clear from the papers whether the Mutt is a Bhyrvi institution as the Swamiji is now stated to be dead. (vide para 12 supra). A 'mergvi institution' is defined under cl (2).1.a S.2. of the Religious and Charitable Institutions Act as including a Matha which is actually in the habit of receiving gifts for the support of which any monthly or annual grant in perpetuity is made from the public revenues or an inam is granted and is recognised and registered as a devadaya or Dharmadaya grant.

(35) From it appears, under the circumstances that the Mutt could be deemed a public institution affecting at least a section of the community viz. the disciples. The improvement in

Acquisition of property of Sri Yatiraj Math Melkote.

of the locality will be is not for the benefit of the Swamiji (personally) as stated by the owner of the site, but it will benefit the disciples who assemble there and inmates the locality too. It appears therefore that there are sufficient grounds to deem the acquisition to be one for a public purpose. Objections may therefore be entertained.

(36) From the records I find that the proposal to acquire (a) a Vacant Site (b) Mantap Mysamam (c) a House for the extension of the Back yard of Sri Yatiraja Mata at Melkote belonging to Hey X Hey and others is for a public purpose.

20.6.65

20/6/65

(37) The following objections are raised to the Acquisition:— It is said that in the Mantap Taught to be acquired the owner has to perform Agnihotra Seva, which is being performed from a very long time, 14 as it: the House to be acquired the owner says that he cannot [illegible] his ancestral house. & (3) the object of the acquisition does not amount to a public purpose.

(38) The important objection to be considered is whether the acquisition is or is not for a Public Purpose.

(39) Section 6 of the Act says that the "acquisition of the property is necessary for improving the Sanitation of the place" ~~Reference to~~ Reference to Section 6 of the Act" means to state that acquisition is required "for enabling the backyard of the Mutt." It is not clear from the facts as to whether ~~the backyard of the Mutt~~ the place, is insanitary as it now stands, & the Sanitation cannot be improved without acquisition, or that it has become insanitary for want of a backyard to the Mutt, & that the backyard to the Mutt is necessary to improve the Sanitation of the locality. What I mean to say is that improvement of the Sanitation by extending the backyard of the Mutt, & the local place, as a public need, & as such the acquisition can be deemed to be for a public purpose. But if the acquisition is merely to provide facilities for the owners of Mutt individually, then I do not think the acquisition can be said to be for a public purpose — & the acquisition in the latter circumstances would be illegal.

40. Hence it is very important to decide this point — & the purpose for which the acquisition is required should be clearly set forth in the Declaration to be issued under Sec 6 of the Act,

And once a Draft Declaration is issued, it will be "Conclusive evidence" of the fact that the land is needed for a Public purpose — vide Sec 6 Sub Clause (3)

(41) As re: the Mantap — even this may be acquired, if the acquisition is for a public purpose. When once the land is acquired under the Act, it vests in Govt. absolutely free from all encumbrances — vide Sec 16 of the Act, & the word encumbrance is sufficiently wide to cover a customary right; for if rights of way & other customary rights are not destroyed by acquisition, it would often be impossible for the Govt to acquire the land in such a way as might be necessary." Hence if acquisition takes customary right of performing 'Sewa' in the 'Mantap' would also be destroyed.

(42) My suggestion that the party may be allowed to perform the 'Sewa' in the 'Mantap' even after acquisition would lead to all sorts of complications in future, & as such, I would not suggest that suggestion. If it is to be accepted, then the agreement must be carefully drawn up — but to accept such right would be against Sec 16 of the Act.

(43) As re: the objection of the owner of the house, it is wholly

matrimonial, but case must be taken to acquire ~~such~~ knowledge is a portion ~~of~~ spread by the owner.

(AA) But the most important point in the whole case is that the determining factor is the person of the accused is in the interest of the public. We need not be entire public - it is sufficient if it is only a portion of the public such as the decent attending the temple & the persons living in the locality. If the accused is in these interest, then no one can question the person for which it is accused, not even a Court of Law. Hence when a declaration such is published in respect of Public Person must be treated as conclusive taken even that is determined, the portion of the community can, is taken from the State a public document & hence the accused is valid.

(Law Secretary) 3 4/7/45

(46) (Under Secy Mujrai) (47) Cur. 5.7.45

5/7/45

100 7/7

(A9) Submitted for orders with reference to paras 24-26 supra

(48) The N.C.'s further remarks may be called for - particularly with reference to para 38

Govt. [illegible] 9/7/45

Govt. [illegible] 7/7

Requisition of two-cair properties for extending the back yard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote. Payell

5422/R.

Mwz. File No. 39 of 1943-44.

U.O. No. 5?1-1945-46 Dated the 10th July 1945

Forwarded unofficially with compliments to the 184
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for remarks.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government.

Retransmitted with compliments.

1-5210/43-44 will be connected records.

(51) The mutt is situated on a ridge, with dwelling houses in the rear and to its south. The mutt has no backyard, and on grounds of sanitation, it is necessary to provide a backyard to the mutt building. It is also reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya Dt., that this acquisition is likely to improve the sanitation of the locality, by opening of some of the dirty uncongested localities and providing good drainage for storm water. It is, therefore, clear, that, by extending the backyard of the mutt, the sanitation of the whole locality will improve and, as such, the acquisition is to be deemed for a public purpose, and is necessary Final declaration ~~statement~~ notification may, suitably, be corrected.

for
Rl

5th February 1946. Muzrai ~~Commissioner~~.

RO from Law Dept. 11/2/46

(52) Submitted for orders with reference to para 48 supra.

for remarks

(53)

requisition of certain properties required for extension of the Golf links of Sri Jathira at Melkote

5A22/R 5422

Page 17

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE
FEB 24 1946
No. 4940

TYPING SECTION
MAR 1946
REV. & REV. SECTT.

LAW DEPT.
21 FEB 1946
MYSORE

TYPING
11 MAR 1946
GEN. BRCH.

outbl-

(5A) With ref to paras 52, 53, 36 to the papers it is clear that the owners of the RC dated 2 46 that the acquisition of the land and the improvement of the sanitation of the locality are such an acquisition may be deemed to be for a public purpose (vide para 26 page

(55) It is clear that the land is required for a public purpose. Therefore it could be acquired.

20/2

Land Secy)

20/2

RV 21-2-46

(56)

(57) Rev. Secy

21/2

(58) Subd. for orders with a draft G.O

28/2/46

25/2

(59)

(60)

27/2

(61)

Issue A.R. 137-46. to be 15th March 1946

To - The Municipal Commissioner Mysore and the Executive

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. 1445 -Revn of 194 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

The Deputy Commissioner, Hamirpur District
The Controller to Government
The Superintendent, Jail Press, Nalagarh with reference to the
re publication and supply of ten spare copies of the Code
relating to the A.C. of French Hooks & Son.

Press
19/3/46

This may now be referred to the Law Branch for re-
taining in papers relating to Law File No 176 of A3-44
placed below and returning this file for being recorded here.

19/3/46

Law Branch).
21/3

Letter No.H.321/39-40 dated 20th April 1942 from the Deputy Commissioner, Manuya District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

---

Re:- Acquisition of the house to the east of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt building at Melkote, French-rocks Taluk.

--

With reference to your letter No.M.C.120/34-35 dated 16th August 1939, calling for submission of proposals, I have the honour to forward herewith duly countersigned the preliminary declaration statements in duplicate both in Kannada and English for the acquisition of the properties situated to the east of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt Building at Melkote, French-rocks, and to state, that the cost of acquisition has been estimated at Rs.700/- as per Manazar drawn up by the Revenue Inspector, ~~xxx~~ that the Sri Swamigalu, who was addressed on 18-9-41 to intimate the willingness or otherwise the acquisition of the properties has not replied.

As regards the cost of acquisition, the same has to be met from the funds of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt.

*****

Letter No.H1.251/41 dated 7th July 1943 from the Deputy Commissioner, Manuya District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Re:- Acquisition of house to the ~~xxx~~ East of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

--

With reference to your letter No.120/34 dated 26-3-43, calling for preliminary declaration statements in the matter, referred to, I have the honour to forward the same both in English and Kannada, under Section 4 of the L.A. Act duly countersigned.

One file of records ending with A.C.C.475/39 dated 1-7-43 from the Sub Division Officer, French-rocks is enclosed herein for favour of kind reference.

****

"Copies"

M. N. Ramaiah

For Muzrai Commissioner

(Draft)

Section. Misc- File No. 39-43. Serial No. 2

No. R. 1190 Dated the 28th August 1943

Encl: Records

To- The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

Sir,

Subject:- Acquisition of certain properties reqd. for extending the back-yard of Shri Yatiraja Mutt, Melkote

Diary No. 297/R

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. H.1-C.251/41-42, dated the 7th July 1943, to the M.C., on the above subject, I am directed to observe that apart from the mahazar of the villagers regarding the valuation of the properties in question, the records do not furnish information as to what the probable cost of acquisition will be, ~~is and~~ It is not known whether ~~that neither~~ the Amildar nor the S.D.O. have made personal enquiries to estimate it, and ~~that~~ whether the amount at the credit of the Mutt is adequate to meet it, ~~is also not intimated~~.

2. I am therefore to request that the informations and the amount at the credit of the institution regarding the cost of acquisition may be obtained through the M.C. and submitted with fresh draft notification, in Kannada, ~~Statements~~ in duplicate, duly countersigned (as the present ones are torn and a portion of one of them is also missing), necessary Corrections being got effected in the preamble and the headings of the columns in all the notifications.

3. The connected records are herewith enclosed for reference and early transmission.

I have, etc.,
for Secy. to Govt.
For Gen. Secy. to Govt.

Memo No. R. 1191 Dated the 28th August 1943.

Copy is forwarded w.c. to the M.C. for information, with reference to his letter No. P.1- C.540/43-44, dated the 14th July 1943

B.O.,
for Secy. to Govt.
For Gen. Sec. to Govt.

Muz. 39-43-3

No. P1-540/43-44

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 10th November 194 3.

2053
a.

12 NOV. 1943

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
V. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

To

J. B. Vallaradhya, Esq., M.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

22/11/43

SIR,

Acquisition of certain properties - extending the backyard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt - Melkote.

With reference to General Secretary's memo No.R.1191 dated 20th August 1943, on the above subject, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of letter No.Hl.251-C.55/41-42 dated 25th October 1943, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, stating that the value of the properties to be acquired is estimated at Rs.1800, exclusive of the statutory amount of Rs.195 at 15% on the award amount, and forwarding fresh draft notification, in duplicate, in English and Kannada.

2. As regards the source from which the proposed acquisition charges should be met, it may be stated that a loan amount of Rs.1900 advanced out of mutt funds by the ex-trustees, to one late Mr. Ramaswami Iyengar, was recovered and credited to the S.B. Account of the mutt, maintained in this office. The late Swamiji of the mutt desired, when he was alive, that the acquisition charges might be met out of the above amount, as much inconvenience was being felt for want of a backyard to the mutt. It was, accordingly, proposed in my letter No.Pl.540/43-44 dated 14th July 1943 that the acquisition charges would be met out of the above amount of Rs.1900 held in S.B. Account. Out of this amount, a sum of Rs.300 has been spent in connection with the funeral ceremonies of the Swamiji and the balance of Rs.1600 is available to meet the acquisition charges, a sum of Rs.5 being also made available by this office from the current year's allotment of the State and General Muzrai Fund under "Construction and Repairs of Muzrai Institutions" to validate the acquisition proceedings.

3. I request that the preliminary notifications may, kindly, be arranged to be expedited published in the Mysore Gazette, as desired in my letter dated 14th July 1943, referred to above.

4. The corrected records are sent herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Ananthan

Asst Commr,

for Huzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from Headquarters)

Klu

(Draft) 2 copies

Section Huzrai

File No. 39-43

Serial No 4

No. R. 3419/LA1 & 2 Encl

Dated the 15th December 1943.

To - The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, for publication in Part III of the Mysore Gazette, preliminary Notifications in English and Kannada, pertaining to the acquisition of certain properties required for the extension of the back-yard of Shri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote, French Rocks T. N, and to request that 20 spare copies of the Notification in Kannada may be sent to the Asst. Commr. in charge of French-Rocks Sub Division in Mandya District.

I have, etc.,

for Addl. Secy. to Govt

Memo No. R. 3420/Revn Dated the 15th December 1943

Copy is forwarded w.c. to the M.C. in Mysore, with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter No. P 1-C. 540/43-44, dated the 10th November 1943, on the subject. The connected file of records accompanies.

By Order,

for Addl. Secy. to Govt

R 5422

No.Pl.540/43-44 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Enc one file of records. Bangalore, 15th May 1945.

To

Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Revenue 39-43-15

Acquisition of certain properties for extending the backyard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

Adverting to Notification No.R.3421/Muz.39-43-5 dated 15th December 1943, of the properties required for extending the backyard of the mutt, I have the honor to state that objections were received from Sri Ranganaykamma and Mr. Ramachandracharswami, the owners of the properties and they have been heard by the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks Sub Dn.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, agreeing with the findings of the Sub Division Officer, is of opinion that the objections are untenable, and the acquisition of the properties is necessary for improving the sanitation of the place, and recommends sanction to the proposed acquisition of the properties.

The main objection raised is, that the acquisition is not for a "public purpose". The Sub Division Officer, who has inspected the locality, reports that the area is insanitary, and cannot be improved, unless the properties in question are acquired. The amount required for this purpose is available in the S.P.Pass Book of the mutt. To validate the acquisition under Section 6 (1), Land Acquisition Act, a small grant of Rs 5 from the State General Muzrai Fund allotment towards repairs and improvements of Muzrai Institutions, of the current year will be made available to meet a portion of the acquisition charges.

The 2nd objection which requires some consideration about the facility for the performance of Agmani seva by the families of Sri Ranganaikamma, about after the properties are acquired and taken possession of. This seva being an established one, may be continued to be performed in the Mantapam, and an undertaking obtained from the Sri Swamigalu, to allow the performance of the utsavam, as per mamool, even after the properties are acquired and handed over to him.

The Deputy Commissioner reports that the objections put forth, are

untenable

untenable, and that sanction may be obtained to the proposed acquisition.

In this connection, Mr. G.R. Tirunarayanaiengar, Advocate, Seringapatam, on behalf of his client, Sri Ranganaikamma, has issued a notice to Government, threatening to file a suit against Government, for a permanent injunction, restraining Government from going through the acquisition proceedings. A report has been called for, in Law Secretary's letter No.5135 dated 12th February 1944. The Sub Division Officer has held a detailed enquiry, and his report contains the full facts of the case. In the circumstances reported by the Sub Division Officer, and the Deputy Commissioner, I think, that the objections are untenable, and the threatened suit may be defended, when filed..

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the proposed acquisition, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, and the final notifications, in Kannada and English, may be kindly arranged to be published in the Mysore Gazette, 25 printed copies of the Kannada notification being arranged to be sent to the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, by the Government Press, for local distribution, and the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, being authorised to perform the functions of the Deputy Commissioner, under the Land Acquisition Act.

A copy of letter No.Hl.551/41-42 dated 2nd May 1945, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, together with the connected records sent by him, are herewith enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Senior Assistant Commissioner for
Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Head quarters)

No.Hl.551/41-42.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Mandya dated the 2nd May 1 9 4 5.

From the Deputy ...ner in Mysore.

From

Safi Darashah, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya.

To

RAJASEVAPRASAKTA
M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:- Acquisition of certain properties for extending the back-yard of Sri Yathiraj Mutt at Melkote.

In continuation of this office letter of even No. dated 2-4-45 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith one file of records ending with Frenchrocks Sub Division officer's memo N..P.L.A.14/43 dated 16-4-45 submitting the final declaration statement and to state as follows:-

The report of the Sub Division officer shows that the matter as also the objections put forward have been fully examined by him. In the circumstances reported by him I am of opinion that the acquisition proceedings are necessary for the extension of the back-yard of the Sri Yathi Raj Mutt at Melkote and request that orders of Govt. may kindly be obtained for the proposed acquisition.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

KRV/2

No. CPI-540/43-44
Encl. One order

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 5th July 1945.

the Deputy in Mysore.

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
V. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

R103.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 9 JUL 1945 BRANCH.

To VPV

Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

9/7

S-C-1.45 ... of the Government of ... was re- ... No P.W.-A.14, 43-44

SIR,

Acquisition of certain properties for extending the ... of Sri Y Varadaja Swami at Melkote. ... Bangalore, ...

In continuation of my letter of even No. dated 10th May 45, on the above subject, I have the honour to forward, herewith, for information, a copy of letter No. [illegible] dated 26th June 1945, received from the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] District, together with copy of the notice presented to the Chief Secretary to Government, by the Counsel of Sri Ranganayakamma.

The objections raised have been dealt with in my previous letter above referred to.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

Subd for perusal.
The main file has been referred U.O. to the M.C. on 10.7.45
11/7/45

D.O. remind Subd
22-7-45 No R4098 23.7.46.

D.O. reminder Subd
27.2.46.

Letter No.[illegible] dated [illegible] 1945 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, to the Hazrat Commissioner in Mysore.

Sub:- [illegible]

[illegible]

tion of the Mulk. In the event of the report of the Sub Division Officer being approved accepted, and any further steps being taken in order to acquire the house of my client, then she would be obliged to seek the aid of law in order to protect her legitimate rights, and would be taking appropriate proceedings in the Civil Court, implicating you and probably the Sub Division Officer also as already notified to you in her last notice to you, and the Government will be rendered itself liable to all costs and damages of my client.

In view of the fact that the proposed acquisition is not for any public purpose and my client's points of objection are quite sound reasonable, and just in every way, she hopes that the subject of such acquisition would be dropped once and for all thereby avoiding all Civil Court proceedings.

.....

"COILS"

Yours faithfully,

For Chairman Commissioner.

No.H1.551/41-42.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Mandya dated the 15th Sept. 1945.

From

Safi Darashah, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya.

To

RAJASEVAPRASAKTA
M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub:- Acquisition of certain properties for extending the back-yard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

With reference to your letter No. 1.540/45 dated 23rd July 1945 on the above subject requesting to examine the question whether the purpose of the proposed acquisition is in the interest of the Public or a defined section of the Public, I have the honour to state that the Sub Division Officer, Krishnarocks states in his memo No. P.L.A.14/43 dated 10th August 1945 that acquisition of the properties notified is necessary for providing a back-yard to Sri Yathiraja Mutt so as to render the place more suitable for the residence of the Swamigalu as the Mutt building exists at present has no sanitary conveniences such as a latrine or a bathing place and the Swamigalu has to go to one of the ponds outside the Mutt at a distance of about a furlong for ablutions and the Mutt is very defective as a residence for the Swamigalu and the provision of a backyard with a well and a latrine is absolutely necessary to make the place suitable for the residence of the Swamigalu, and the acquisition can be said to be in the interest of a defined section of the public viz., the desciples of the Mutt, as their spiritual head has to be suitably housed and they will be saved from the necessary trouble of going to a pond about a furlong from the Mutt for ablutions and will thus be able to devote more attention to the spiritual

P.T.O.

spiritual well being of his disciples, and also the acquisition indirectly bringing about an improvement of the sanitation of the locality by opening up of some of the dirty localities and by providing good drainage to storm water.

In the circumstances reported by the Sub Division Officer, I am of opinion that the proposed acquisition is in the interest of a defined section of the public and the acquisition proceedings are necessary for the extension of the back-yard of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote and request that orders of Government may kind be obtained for the proposed acquisition.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

krv/2

" Copy "

G.V.Tirunarayana Iyengar, B.A., LL.B.,

Advocate.

To,

The Revenue Sub-Division Officer,

French-Rocks Sub-Division,

French-Rocks.

Sir,

Ref. No. R. 3421- Muz 39-43-5 dated 15-12-43
published in the Mysore Gazette of Dec. 23 1943.

Under instructions from my client Sri: Ranganayakamma wife of Sthanika Rangaswamiengar, this is to prefer her Objections to the Acquisition of items (a) to (f) of the notification, under Sec.5.A. (1) of the Land Acquisition Act, on the following amongst other grounds:-

1. That the property intended to be acquired is a family-house of my client and her family from time immemorial,

2. That the purpose for which the house is notified to be acquired is not a PUBLIC PURPOSE by any stretch of imagination,

3. That the necessity of the purpose is not in the least grave enough to warrant Acquisition of the dwelling house of a neighbour, especially when such house has come down in the family from time immemo-rial, attaching to itself all the traditions and sentiments which are generally so dear to the owners, that no amount of money can adequately compensate,

4. That especially so would be the case in a place like Melkote, whose people, as is well known, are ever prepare to sacrifice their all, irrespective of trouble, time and cost, to safe-guard such traditi-tions, some of which would appear to outsiders to be so trivial as not to be worth even a name in terms of money,

5. That the ownership of the ancestors of the family of my client to their house is perhaps as old as the [illegible] enough for the [illegible] no [illegible]

6. That even if the contemplated [illegible] do not in any constitute a public [illegible] to the Govt

can Acquire one man's land to give to another,

7. That such a procedure is opposed to all canons of Law & Equity,

8. That the family of my clients has such vested interests in the property like the right ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ of Angamani Utsavam which is an exclusive right and privilege attached together to the family and to the house, the Angamani-Mantapam being a part and parcel of the house,

9. That this right and privilege which the house and family is enjoying for over a hundred years, would be cut at the bottom for nothing at all, but a trivial purpose, if the Acquisition Proceedings were to be allowed to proceed,

10. That it is nothing but an unholy, unhealthy and unrighteous method on the part of the person or persons who happen to be conducting the affairs of the Mutt, to seek to expand themselves into a back-yard at the expense of dismantling the age-old dwelling house of their neighbours by utilising Government machinery for thier private purpose,

11. That when opportunity is given, my client would be prepared to adduce evidence and arguments necessary to substantiate her contention

12. I am further instructed to pray that you may be pleased to consider the case in the light of the above grounds and be pleased to advise the Government to drop the Acquisition Proceedings and save my client the trouble and expense of going to a court of Law.

Thanking you, Sir,

I beg to remain,

Yours faithfully,

(Sd) S.V.T Ayyangar

Advocate

Coimbatore 6.
Dated Jan '11.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

R e a d:-

Government Notification No.R.3421/Muz.39-43-5, dated the 15th December 1943, regarding the acquisition of certain properties required for the extension of the backyard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

2.Correspondence ending with un-official Note No.P.1-C.540/43-44, dated the 5th February 1946, of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the acquisition of the properties indicated in the Notification dated the 15th December 1943 referred to above, required for the extension of the backyard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

Order No. R6437-40 / Muz 39-43-7

Dated, Bangalore, the 15th March 1946.

Sanction is accorded to the acquisition of the properties at Melkote indicated above, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the French-Rocks Sub Division being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Act and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said properties, under Section 7 of the Act.

2.The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be published in the Gazette.

3.The cost of acquisition will be met as shown below:-

(a) A grant amounting to Rs.5/-(Five) from the revenue under the allotment for the constructionm and repairs of Muzrai Institutions; and
(b) The funds at the credit of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To-The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the connected records.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, with declaration statements for publication and supply of ten spare copies of the declaration in Kannada to the Assistant Commissioner, in charge of the Revenue Sub Division at Frech-Rocks, for local publication.

LC/5.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G. 2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....5422/R.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Mwr. Section)

the 23rd February *1946*.

Date of issue... 15th March 1946. W/A Sd.

Signed by ..... Mr S.K.V

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(iv) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section. Mwrai

File No. 39-43

Serial No. 7

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Govt. Notification No. P. 3421 | Mwr. 39-43-5, dated the 15th December 1943, regarding the acquisition of certain properties required for the Extension of the back-yard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

Read—

2 Correspondence ending with Demi-official Note No. P. 1-C. 540/43-44, dated the 5th February 1946, of the Mwrai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the acquisition of the properties indicated in the Notification dated the 15th December 1943 referred to above, required for the extension of the back-yard of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

Order thereon.—No.

ORDER No. R. 6137-40 (W/A Sd.)

Dated the 15th ~~February~~ March 1946

Sanction is accorded to the acquisition of the properties at Melkote indicated above, the Assistant Commissioner in Charge of the French-Rocks Sub Division being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land

Acquisition Act and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said properties, under Section 7 of the Act.

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be published in the Gazette.

3. The cost of acquisition will be met as shown below:-

(a) A ~~letter~~ grant amounting to Rs. 5/- (five) from the reserve under the allotment for the construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions; and

(b) The funds at the credit of Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote.

List of Enclosures

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To - The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the Connected records,
" Comptroller to Government
" Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.
" Compiler, Mysore Gazette, with declaration Statements for publication and supply of ~~two~~ Spare copies of the Declaration in Kannada re the visit. Commissioner, i/c of the Revenue Sub-Division at French-Rocks, for local publication.

Copied by

WD 04162—GPB—10,000—23-10-41

NoR6441/Mwx.39-43-8, Dated the 15th March 1946

ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರ್ನಾಮೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕುಂ ನಂಬರು R. 6441-40/Mwx. 39-43-7, Dated the 15th March 1946

1894ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷದ 7ನೆಯ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ಜಸ್ಟ್ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ 6ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಇದರ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರ, ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ 7 ಮತ್ತು 3 (c) ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಚಾರ್ಜಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ನದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಹೊಂದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮಜರುಗಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಕ್ಕು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಹೋಬಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಕ್ಕು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಹೋಬಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ

ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ—ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು

ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 4.7x10+1.8x7 = 59.6 ಆಕಾರ

ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ

ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ—ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು

ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 25x23+32x17 ಆಕಾರ

= 1119 S-ft.

ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ

ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ—ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು

A 15] Land Acquisition Notification.

for the improvement of the sanitation of the area near

G. O. No.

Notification No R 6441-/Mwx. 39-43-8, Dated the 15th March 1946.

L. A. 15] Land Acquisition Notification

G. O. No. R 6441-40/Mwx. 39-43-7, Date

Under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, No. VII of 1894, it is hereby declared that the land described hereunder is required for a public purpose, *viz.* Extension of the Bazar ground at Yathinaja Kuppam at Melkote and under Sections 7 and 3 (*c*) of the said Act, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Seedhagatta Sub Division is authorised to take order for the Acquisition of the said land.

District Taluk Hobli.

Mandya. District Pt Road Taluk Melkote Hobli

Melkote Village.

Anganam Survey No. Vacant Site in the Khate of Saijehalli Singlacar and in the anubhava of Rangamayakamma - and bounded on the North by Survey No. Yathinaja Kuppi South by Pathikemaracharu East by Survey No. Vacant Site and West by Survey No. Anganam Mantap the area required being 47x10+18x7 = 596 Sft assessed at.

Survey No. Vacant Site in the Khate of Saijehalli Singlacar and in the anubhava of Rangamayakamma - and bounded on the North by Survey No. Yathinaja Kuppi South by Pathikemaracharu House East by Survey No. Rangamayakamma's House and West by Survey No Anganam Mantap the area required being 25x23+32x17 = 1119 Sft assessed at.

Mangalore tiles House. M.

Survey No.

in the Khate of.. Sajjehalli Singlachar

in the anubhava of..... Ranganayakamma

and bounded on the North by Country tiled House South by Survey No. House ....East by Survey No. Vacant Site and West by Survey No. Mmts. Vacant Site the area required being 26×25=650 Sft. assessed at.....

Country tiles House

Survey No.

in the Khate of.. Sajjehalli Singlachar and

in the anubhava of.. Ranganayakamma

and bounded on the North by Survey No. House of Sreenivasa Nara-yana Survey No. Singlachar South by Survey No. Vacant Site and West by Survey No. Yatiraja Mutt the area required being 22×25=550 Sft. assessed at.....

Survey No. Vacant Site.

in the Khate of.. Sajjehalli Singlachar and

in the anubhava of..... Ranganayakamma

and bounded on the North by Survey No. House of Sreenivasa Nara-yana Singlachar South by Survey No. Mangalore tiled House ....East by Survey No. Balkyard and West by Survey No. Ranganayakamma the area required being 48×25=1200 Sft. assessed at.....

M.L. No. 26 Survey No. Balkyard

in the Khate of.. Sajjehalli Singlachar .....and

in the anubhava of.... Ranganayakamma

and bounded on the North by Survey No. House of Sreenivasa Nara-yana Singlachar South by Survey No. Balkyard ....East by Survey No. Four Site and West by Survey No. Balkyard the area required being $\frac{50+36}{2} \times 76 = 3268$ Sft. assessed at.....

Survey No. House

in the Khate of. G. Ramachendra Swamy Gaha

in the anubhava of. G. Ramachendra Charya Swamy

and bounded on the North by Survey No. Kaue & Vahan South by Survey No. Yatiraja Mutt ....East by Survey No. Naipap and West by Survey No. Bara Narayana temple House the area required being 34×20=680 Sft. assessed at.....

Countersigned

Mangalore Amildar

16/4/47 Jr Rocks

Common Grant

WD 02293—GPB—15,000—2-10-43

By order,

for Secretary to Government,

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂ 26. ಕಂಬಳ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಹಿಂಚೆಕ

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾ

ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದ ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜ ಎಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 26×25=650 Sft ಆಕಾರ

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರು. ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದ ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 22×25=550 Sft ಆಕಾರ

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರು. ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದ ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಎನ ಎಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 48×25=1200 Sft ಆಕಾರ

ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗಿಲ್ಲ ನಂ 26 ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಹಿ. ಶೆ. ಉ.

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲರು. ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲು ದ ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಹಿ. ಶೆ. ಉ. ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಹಿ. ಶೆ. ಉ. ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ಎಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 50+36 ×76=3268 ಆಕಾರ Sft

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲರುವ ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲ ರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 34×20=680 Sft ಆಕಾರ

ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು

ನಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಚೆಕ್ಕಾರರು ಯವರ ಖಾತೆಯಲ್ಲರುವ ಕಂಬಳ ನಂ. ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ರವರ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲರುವ ಮತ್ತು ಈರೀತಿ ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿಯುಳ್ಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ನಂಬರು ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಆಕಾರ

By order,

for Secretary to Government,